Privatisaiton of PSUs

3021. SHRI ANAND PRAKASH GAUTAM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with Government for privatisation of some of public undertakings including BHEL, if so, the reasons thereof;

(b) the names of all such public undertakings which are under this proposal and the expected time to be taken by Government to endorse the proposal;

(c) what steps are being taken by Government to ensure re-employment of all affected empoyees, labourers to save them from any unemployment; and

(d) how Government would protect reservation policy for SC/ST Communities in all such undertakings after privatisation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT) WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF HEAVY INDUSTRY) SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI): (a) No, Sir. Government have only disinvested some of its equity holdings.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.

Decline in the rate of employment in SSI units

3022. SHRI B. K. HARIPRASAD: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that despite modest growth in the number of SSI units, there has been a steady decline in the employment rate in SSI units;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) what steps Government propose to take to arrest this decline affecting the livelihood of workers and their families; and

(d) whether Government would make it mandatory for the SSI units to keep a minimum number of workers on their roll related to their investment|turnover?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIES AND AGRO AND RURAL INDUSTRIES) (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) and (b) No, Sir. Along with growth in the number of units, there is growth in employmrnt also.

(c) Question does not arise. However, the Government is providing various facilities for growth of small scale industries which will lead to generation of more employment.

(d) The question does not arise.

Non-Refund of Amount to Non-Allottees of Public Equity Shares

3023. SHRI RAM DEO BHANDARI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a number of companies which collected huge amount of money through their issues of public equity share have not refunded the amount to the non-allottees during the last year, in violation of SEBI guidelines.

(b) whether it is also a fact that the non-allottees are running from pillar to post to get their money refunded from such companies and, they have also approached SEBI in the matter; and

(c) what specific measures have been taken|are being taken to ensure the refund of money to the non-allottees who had applied for shares of Aashi Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Gujarat Ambuya Exports Ltd. and Everest Cement Ltd in the public interests?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINSTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) to (c) Complaints are received from tme to time from non-allotees regarding non-refund of their application money by companies which had issued equity shares of the public. SEBI has reported that it has also received complaints of this nature. As soon as such complaints are received in the Department of Company Affairs, these are taken up with the companies concerned, directly or through the field offices, for redressal. In addition, in the case of companies against whom there are large number of such complaints and satisfactory response is not received, action is initiated under the Companies Act, 1956. The companies against whom action has been initiated on the basis of investors' complaints are indicated in the Statement (*see below*) M|s Aashi Pharmachem Ltd. M|s Gujarat Ambuja Exports Ltd. and M/s. Everest Cement Ltd., are included in the list.

List of companis against whom action has been initiated based on investors' complaints

1. M|s. Akhil Ceraemics Ltd.
2. M|s. All Seasons Foods Ltd.
3. Altos India Ltd.
4. M/s. Amethi Textiles Ltd.
5. M|s. Asiana Agro Industries Ltd.
6. M|s. Aashi Pharmachem Ltd.
7. M|s. ATV Projects India Ltd.
8. M|s. Autopal Industries Ltd.
9. M/s. Baroda Alloys & Castings Ltd.
10. M|s. Bausch and Lomb India Ltd.
11. M|s Bindal Agro Chem. Limited.
12. M/s. CTF Cotton Yarn Ltd.
13. M|s. Essar Gujarat Limited.
12. M|s. Everest Cemrnt Ltd.
15. M|s. Finolex Pipes Ltd.
16. M|s. Flex Foods Ltd.
17. M|s. Ganesh Polytex Ltd.
18. M/s. Gold-Star Steel and Alloy Ltd.
19. M|s. Gujarat Gas Co. Ltd.
20. M|s. Grant Foundary Ltd.
21. M|s. Gujarat Ambuja Exports Ltd.
22. M|s. Hndustan Development Corporation Ltd. .. ..
23. M|s. Hytaisun Magnetics Ltd.
24. M|s. Herdnllta Unimers Ltd.
25. M/s. Indana Spices and Food Industries Ltd.
26. M|s. Insilco Ltd.
27. M|s. Indian Acrylics Ltd. .. ..
28. M|s. ICICI Ltd.
29. M|s. IDL-Saldbau (I) Ltd.
30. M/s. ISIBARS Ltd.
31. M|s. Kalyani Sharp (I) Ltd.....
32. M/s. Khaitan Hostomb Spinel Ltd.
33. M/s. Krypton Industries Ltd.
34. M|s.Kumar's Metalurgical Corpn. Ltd. ....
35. Ms. Lunar Diamonds Ltd. ....
36. M|s. Madalsa International Ltd.
37. M/s. Malanpur Leathers Ltd.
38. M/s. Mangalore Refinery & Petrochemicals Ltd.
39. M|s. Mideast (India) Ltd.
40. M|s. Mura-Black India Limitrd.
41. M/s. Prag Bosmi Synthetics Ltd.
42. M/s. Premier Plantations Ltd.
43. M|s. Punjab Coneast Steels Ltd.
44. M|s. Pan Asia Industries Ltd.
45. M|s. Rajasthan Breweries Ltd.
46. M|s. Ram Paper Mills Ltd.
47. M|s. Rishab Special Yarns Ltd.
48. M/s. Ross Murarka Finance
49. M|s. Sab Nife Power Systems Ltd.
50. M|s. Sidco Leathers Ltd.

51. M|s. Spic Fine Chemical Ltd.
52. M|s. Satyam Computer Services Ltd.
53. M|s. Surya Jyoti Spinning Mills Ltd.
54. M|s. Tata Elxsi (I) Ltd. ..
55. M|s. Tata Timken Ltd. .. .. ..
56. M|s. Trimurtee Fertiliesrs Ltd.
57. M|s. Videocon Appliances Ltd.
58. M|s. Woolworth (India) Ltd.

न्यायालय-कर्मचारियों द्वारा ट्रैफिक चालानों का फाड़ा जाना

3024. **श्री सोमपाल :** क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार का ध्यान 1 जनवरी, 1993 के राष्ट्रीय सहारा (दिल्ली संस्करण) के पृष्ठ 3 पर प्रकाशित समाचार की ओर दिलाया गया है जिसमें यह बताया गया है कि अदालत के लिपिक द्वारा ट्रैफिक चालानों को फाड़ने का धंधा किया जा रहा है तथा इस संबंध में मजिस्ट्रेट को धोखा दिया जा रहा है ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो इससे सरकार को अब तक राजस्व की कितनी हानि हुई है ;

(ग) दोषी अधिकारियों के विरुद्ध क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ; और

(घ) भविष्य में इस प्रकार की घटनाओं की पुनरावृति न होने देने के लिए सरकार ने क्या कदम उठाए हैं ?

विधि, न्याय और कंपनी कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री श्री हंसराज भारद्वाज: (क) से (घ) दिल्ली राष्ट्रीय राजधानी राज्य क्षेत्र शासन और दिल्ली उच्च न्यायालय से जानकारी एकत्र की जा रही है और सदन के पटल पर रख दी जाएगी।

Disparaging of some senior officers by CEC

3025. SHRI ANANT RAM JAISWAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Chief Election Commissioner has disparaged certain senior IAS officers of Government of India for not sparing the services of some joint Secretaries to perfom the duty of "Observers" during the presidential and biennial election to Bihar Legislative Council in 1992;

(b) if so, the details in this regard;

(c) what are the circumstances under which the defience of the order of the Chief Election Commissioner has occurred;

(d) whethtr Government have taken any action against the guilty officers;

(c) whether Government have taken any step to check such defiance in future; and

(f) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSONS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Election Commission has written to the Government of India that a Secretary to the Government of India did not spare the services of one of the Joint Secretaries to serve as an 'Observer' at the biennial election to the Bihar Legislative Council by the Members of the Bihar Legislative Assembly held in July, 1992.

(c) The Ministry expressed its inability to spare the services of the officer concerned in view of the exigencies of work as perceived by the Ministry.

(d) The Government does not, if so facto, consider "expression of inability" to spare the services of a particular officer for election duty to be a deliberate defiance of Commission's order. However, the Government is examining the further course of action to be taken in the matter.